UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/550,718	09/23/2005	09/23/2005 Giorgio Bertolini		5993	
38263 PROPAT, L.L. <b>0</b>	7590 03/21/200 C.	EXAMINER			
425-C SOUTH	SHARON AMITY RO	BALASUBRAMANIAN, VENKATARAMAN			
CHARLUTTE,	NC 28211-2841		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1624		
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			03/21/2008	PAPER	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/550,718		BERTOLINI ET A	L.			
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		/Venkataraman Balasubramanian/		1624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM 7  - Extensions of time may be available under the properties of the state of the s	THE MAILING DA' ovisions of 37 CFR 1.136 nis communication. imum statutory period will for reply will, by statute, of months after the mailing of	TE OF THIS COMN (a). In no event, however, I apply and will expire SIX ( cause the application to bec	MUNICATION may a reply be tim 6) MONTHS from come ABANDONEI	J.  nely filed  the mailing date of this of the mailing date of this of the control of the contr	,			
Status								
1) Responsive to communication	(s) filed on <i>07 Jan</i>	nuarv 2008.						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	•	action is non-final.						
•	, <del></del>							
Disposition of Claims								
4) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-7,13,14 and 16</u> is/a 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-7,13,14 and 16</u> is/a 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to	is/are withdrawi .re rejected. d to.	n from consideratio						
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to	•							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is object	=	·	,		, ,			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)			rview Summary					
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Re</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/23/2005</u>.</li> </ul>		5) 🔲 Noti	er No(s)/Mail Da ice of Informal Pa er:	atent Application				

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-7, 13, 14 and 16 in the reply filed on 1/7/2008 is acknowledged. The preliminary amendment filed on 9/23/2005 is also acknowledged.

Accordingly, the revised election/restrictions will read as:

#### Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claims 1-7 and 13-16, drawn to a process of preparing an intermediate compound for making compound of formula la and a process for preparing an intermediate for making compound of formula la.

Group II, claims 8, 9 and 12, drawn to a process of preparing an intermediate compound of formula XIa.

Group III, claims 10 and 11, drawn to a process of reducing XIa to give emtricitabine.

Applicants have cancelled claims 8-12 and 15 in the current amendment and elected Group I, claims 1-7, 13, 14 and 16 without traverse as noted above. Accordingly, claims 1-7, 13, 14 and 15 are now under consideration.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

References cited in the Information Disclosure Statement, filed on 9/23/2005, are made of record.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-7, 13, 14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- 1. Recitation of "salification" in claim 1 renders claim 1 and its dependent claims 2-7, 13,1 4 and 16, indefinite as it is not clear what is intended. The process with organic and or mineral acid appears to be hydrolysis and it is not clear whether the term "salification is synonymous with hydrolysis.
- 2. Claim 2 is indefinite as it is not clear what is intended. As recited it appears that the corresponding salt recited in claim 1 includes slat not isolable. In addition, claim 2 is a duplicate of claim 1 as there is not material difference between claim 1 and claim 2.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-7, 13, 14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for hydrolysis of compound of formula XIa, does not reasonably provide enablement for preparing compound of formula Ia by

treatment with organic or mineral acid. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make

the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. Following apply.

In evaluating the enablement question, following factors are considered. Note In re Wands, 8 USPQ2d 1400 and Ex parte Forman, 230 USPQ 546. The factors include:

1) The nature of the invention, 2) the state of the prior art, 3) the predictability or lack thereof in the art, 4) the amount of direction or guidance present, 5) the presence or absence of working examples, 6) the breadth of the claims, and 7) the quantity of experimentation needed.

1. The nature of the invention and the state of the prior art:

The invention is drawn to a process of preparing compound of formula la by hydrolysis of compound of formula XIa with organic and or mineral acid. Specification is not adequately enabled as to how to make compounds of formula la by simple hydrolysis to transform a carboxylate group to a corresponding alcohol as depicted in the process of claim 1. Note the oxidation of state carboxylate is higher than and the corresponding alcohol group and therefore require a reducing agent to convert and carboxyl group to corresponding alcohol group. Specification offers no teachings or suggestion as to how to perform such a process.

2. The predictability or lack thereof in the art: Hence the process as applied to the above-mentioned compounds claimed by the applicant is not an art-recognized process and hence there should be adequate enabling disclosure in the specification with working example(s).

Application/Control Number: 10/550,718 Page 5

Art Unit: 1624

4. The amount of direction or guidance present: Examples illustrated in the

experimental section or written description offer no guidance or teachings as to how

perform the process of making alcohol via hydrolysis of an ester group as depicted in

the instant claim.

5. The presence or absence of working examples:

Although example 1 shows the process using borohydride, there are no

representative examples showing the viability of the process for direct hydrolysis

leading to the desired product of formula la.

6. The breadth of the claims: Specification has no support, as noted above, for the

salification process of compound embraced in the claim would lead to desired

compound of formula la and there is also no valid chemical reasoning for one trained in

the art to expect that such a functional group transformation be accomplished with

simple treatment with acid.

7. The quantity of experimentation needed:

The quantity of experimentation needed would be an undue burden on skilled art

in the chemical art since there is inadequate guidance given to the skilled artisan for the

many reasons stated above. Even with the undue burden of experimentation, there is

no guarantee that one would get the product of desired structure, namely compound of

formula I embraced in the instant claims in view of the prior art teachings. Thus, factors

such as "sufficient working examples", the "level of skill in the art and predictability, etc.

have been demonstrated to be sufficiently lacking in the case for the instant claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/550,718 Page 6

Art Unit: 1624

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be

addressed to Venkataraman Balasubramanian (Bala) whose telephone number is (571)

272-0662. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from

8.00 AM to 6.00 PM. The Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) of the art unit 1624 is

James O. Wilson, whose telephone number is 571-272-0661. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned (571) 273-8300. Any

inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAG. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-2 17-9197 (toll-free).

/Venkataraman Balasubramanian/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1624

Application/Control Number: 10/550,718

Page 7

Art Unit: 1624